EXHIBIT

9

	•
DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE	TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
Y: \square complaint \square information $oxtimes$ indictme	NT Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSE	DING NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
Restraint of Trade)	Zon u En
Miso	O. R.C. TOP TO THE REAL CO.
	neanor SEUNG-KYU LEE, a.k.a. SIMON LEE RO
$\boxtimes f$	Plon P TDISTRICT COURT WIMER 7
ENALTY: See attachment	TU UOT WHAT,
	- Min
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding.
	1) 🗵 If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges
Federal Bureau of Investigation person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court	
give name of court	i, 2) Is a Fugitive
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this process and the transferred from another distric	
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	
	IS IN CUSTODY
Abia is a suppose stilling of	──
this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed	5) On another conviction
which were dismissed on motion of:	NO.
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	6) Awaiting trial on other charges
<u> </u>	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	Has detainer Yes If "Yes"
pending case involving this same defendant MAGISTR	give date
CASE N	
prior proceedings or appearance(s) before U.S. Magistrate regarding this	ARREST *
defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
ame and Office of Person urnishing Information on this form Melinda Haag	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year TO U.S. CUSTODY
urnishing Information on this form Melinda Haag U.S. Attorney Other U.S. Age	
ame of Assistant U.S.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ttorney (if assigned) Lidia Maher, Antitrust Div	
	INFORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
PROCESS: ☐ SUMMONS ☐ NO PROCESS* ☐ WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
If Summons, complete following:	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment

PENALTY SHEET

Individuals:

SEUNG-KYU LEE, a.k.a. SIMON LEE

Offense Charged:

15 U.S.C. Section 1 (Conspiracy in Restraint

Maximum Penalties:

1. A fine in an amount equal to the largest of:

- A. \$1,000,000.00
- B. Twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the crime.
- C. Twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime.
- 2. A term of imprisonment for ten years.
- 3. A term of supervised release of at least two years but not more than three years.
- 4. \$100 special assessment.
- 5. Restitution.

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIA	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA TO
Title 15, United States Code, Section 1 (Conspiracy in Petty	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISIONOV
Restraint of Trade)	1 19884A (/ 2
Misc	THE MAN BULL OF THE MAN
☐ mea	nor YONG-SHU YANG, a.k.a. YONG-SHU LIANG, a.k.
∑ Felo	YOUNG-UK YANG, a.k.a. YEONG-EUG YANG, a.k. DISTRICT COURT NUMBER ALBERT YANG
PENALTY: See attachment	
	DEFENDANT
PROCEEDING	IS NOT IN CUSTODY
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) X If not detained give date any prior
Federal Bureau of Investigation	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court,	—
give name of court	
	3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district	
per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District	IS IN CUSTODY
	4) On this charge
this is a reprosecution of	
charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion SHOW	5) On another conviction Federal State
of: DOCKET NO).
U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a	- If IDGs:
pending case involving this same	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date
defendant MAGISTRAT CASE NO.	
prior proceedings or appearance(s)	DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not
Name and Office of Person	DATE TRANSFERRED Month/Day/Year
Furnishing Information on this form Melinda Haag	TO U.S. CUSTODY
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Lidia Maher, Antitrust Div.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
ADDITIONAL IN	FORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
PROCESS:	Dall Assessed
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:

PENALTY SHEET

Individuals:

YEONG-UG YANG, a.k.a. YEONG-WIJOK, YANG, a.K.a. QNG-SHU

YANG, a.k.a. YONG-SHU LIANG, a.k.a. YOUNG PIK YANG,

a.k.a. YEONG-EUG YANG, a.k.a. ALBERT YANG

Offense Charged:

15 U.S.C. Section 1 (Conspiracy in Restraint of Trade)

Maximum Penalties:

CR 10 0817

- 1. A fine in an amount equal to the largest of:
 - A. \$1,000,000.00
 - B. Twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the crime.
 - C. Twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime.
- 2. A term of imprisonment for ten years.
- 3. A term of supervised release of at least two years but not more than three years.
- 4. \$100 special assessment.
- 5. Restitution.

AO 257 (Rev. 6/78)

DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE T	O A CRINTINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT
BY: COMPLAINT INFORMATION INDICTMENT	Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistrate Location
OFFENSE CHARGED SUPERSEDIN	CUIU NITV
Title 15, United States Code, Section 1 (Conspiracy in Restraint of Trade)	WIER HERN DISTRICT COURT
Misd mean	JAE-SIK KIM
PENALTY: See attachment	CR 10 0317 WHA
PROCEEDING	DEFENDANT
Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (& Title, if any)	Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. 1) X If not detained give date any prior
Federal Bureau of Investigation	summons was served on above charges
person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court	2) Is a Fugitive 3) Is on Bail or Release from (show District)
this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrp 20, 21, or 40. Show District this is a reprosecution of charges previously dismissed which were dismissed on motion of: U.S. ATTORNEY DEFENSE	IS IN CUSTODY 4) On this charge 5) On another conviction Federal State 6) Awaiting trial on other charges If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution
this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same defendant magistrate case no. magistrate regarding this	Has detainer Yes If "Yes" give date filed DATE OF Month/Day/Year ARREST
Name and Office of Person Furnishing Information on this form Melinda Haag	Or if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not DATE TRANSFERRED TO U.S. CUSTODY Month/Day/Year
☑ U.S. Attorney ☐ Other U.S. Agency	
Name of Assistant U.S. Attorney (if assigned) Lidia Maher, Antitrust Div.	This report amends AO 257 previously submitted
PROCESS: ADDITIONAL INF	FORMATION OR COMMENTS ————————————————————————————————————
SUMMONS NO PROCESS* WARRANT	Bail Amount:
If Summons, complete following: Arraignment Initial Appearance	* Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons or
Defendant Address:	warrant needed; since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment
	Date/Time: Before Judge:
Comments:	

PENALTY SHEET

Individuals:

JAE-SIK KIM

Offense Charged:

15 U.S.C. Section 1 (Conspiracy in

WHA

Maximum Penalties:

1. A fine in an amount equal to the largest of:

- A. \$1,000,000.00
- B. Twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the crime.
- C. Twice the gross pecuniary loss caused to the victims of the crime.
- 2. A term of imprisonment for ten years.
- 3. A term of supervised release of at least two years but not more than three years.
- 4. \$100 special assessment.
- 5. Restitution.

United States District Court

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VENUE: SAN FRANCISCO

TON NOV 9 P 2 51

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

°CR 10 0817

SEUNG-KYU LEE, a.k.a. SIMON LEE; YEONG-UG YANG, a.k.a. YEONG-WOOK YANG, a.k.a. YONG-SHU YANG, a.k.a. YONG-SHU LIANG, a.k.a., YOUNG-UK YANG, a.k.a. YEONG-EUG YANG, a.k.a. ALBERT YANG; and JAE-SIK KIM

DEFENDANT(S).

INDICTMENT

Title 15 U.S.C. Section 1 (Conspiracy in Restraint of Trade)

1 LIDIA MAHER (CSBN 222253) MAY LEE HEYE (CSBN 209366) 2 TAI S. MILDER (CSBN 267070) **Antitrust Division** 3 U.S. Department of Justice 450 Golden Gate Avenue 4 Box 36046, Room 10-0101 San Francisco, CA 94102 5 Telephone: (415) 436-6660 6 Attorneys for the United States 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SAN FRANCISCE DIVISION 0817 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA No. 12 INDICTMENT 13 SEUNG-KYU LEE, a.k.a. SIMON LEE: VIOLATION: YEONG-UG YANG, a.k.a. Title 15, United States Code, 14 YEONG-WOOK YANG, a.k.a. Section 1 (Conspiracy in Restraint of Trade) YONG-SHU YANG, a.k.a. YONG-SHU 15 LIANG, a.k.a., YOUNG-UK YANG, a.k.a. San Francisco Venue YEONG-EUG YANG, a.k.a. ALBERT YANG; and JAE-SIK KIM, 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 The Grand Jury charges that: 20 I. 21 **DESCRIPTION OF THE OFFENSE** 22 1. The following individuals are hereby indicted and made defendants on the charge 23 stated below: SEUNG-KYU LEE, a.k.a. SIMON LEE; YEONG-UG YANG, a.k.a. YEONG-24 WOOK YANG, a.k.a. YONG-SHU YANG, a.k.a. YONG-SHU LIANG, a.k.a. YOUNG-UK YANG, 25 a.k.a. YEONG-EUG YANG, a.k.a. ALBERT YANG; and JAE-SIK KIM. 26 2. Beginning at least as early as January 1997, until at least as late as March 2006, 27 the exact dates being unknown to the Grand Jury, coconspirators of the defendants joined, entered into, and engaged in a combination and conspiracy to suppress and eliminate competition 28 INDICTMENT - PAGE 1

by fixing prices, reducing output, and allocating market shares of color display tubes ("CDTs") to be sold in the United States and elsewhere. The combination and conspiracy engaged in by the defendants and coconspirators was in unreasonable restraint of interstate and foreign trade and commerce in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1).

- 3. Defendant SEUNG-KYU LEE joined and participated in the conspiracy from at least as early as January 2000 and continuing until at least December 2005.
- 4. Defendant YEONG-UG YANG joined and participated in the conspiracy from at least as early as June 2003 and continuing until at least March 2006.
- 5. Defendant JAE-SIK KIM joined and participated in the conspiracy from at least as early as June 2003 and continuing until at least March 2006.
- 6. The charged combination and conspiracy consisted of a continuing agreement, understanding, and concert of action among the defendants and coconspirators, the substantial terms of which were to agree to fix prices, reduce output, and allocate market shares of CDTs to be sold in the United States and elsewhere for use in computer monitors and other products with similar technological requirements.

II.

MEANS AND METHODS OF THE CONSPIRACY

- 7. For the purpose of forming and carrying out the charged combination and conspiracy, the defendants and coconspirators did those things that they combined and conspired to do, including, among other things:
 - (a) attending meetings and engaging in conversations and communications in Taiwan, Korea, Malaysia, China, and elsewhere to discuss the prices, output, and market shares of CDTs;
 - agreeing during those meetings, conversations, and communications to charge prices of CDTs at certain target levels or ranges;
 - (c) agreeing during those meetings, conversations, and communications to reduce output of CDTs by shutting down CDT production lines for certain periods of time;

INDICTMENT – PAGE 2

28

- (d) agreeing during those meetings, conversations, and communications to allocate target market shares for the CDT market overall and for certain CDT customers;
- (e) exchanging CDT sales, production, market share, and pricing information for the purpose of implementing, monitoring, and enforcing adherence to the agreed-upon prices, output reduction, and market share allocation;
- (f) implementing an auditing system that permitted coconspirators to visit each other's production facilities to verify that CDT production lines had been shut down as agreed;
- authorizing and approving the participation of subordinate employees in the conspiracy;
- (h) issuing price quotations and reducing output in accordance with the agreements reached; and
- taking steps to conceal the conspiracy and conspiratorial contacts through various means.

III.

DEFENDANTS AND COCONSPIRATORS

- 8. Defendant SEUNG-KYU LEE is or was a resident of Korea. From at least as early as January 2000 and continuing until at least December 2005, SEUNG-KYU LEE was employed by Company A and later by Company B. Company B was a joint venture formed in approximately 2001 between Company A and Company C. Beginning around 2004, SEUNG-KYU LEE was responsible for CDT sales for Company B. During the period covered by this Indictment, Company A was a Korean company, and Company B was a company incorporated in the Netherlands, headquartered in Hong Kong, and engaged in the business of producing and selling, among other things, CDTs to customers in the United States and elsewhere.
- Defendant YEONG-UG YANG is or was a resident of Korea. From at least as early as June 2003 and continuing until at least March 2006, YEONG-UG YANG was employed INDICTMENT – PAGE 3

 by Company B and, beginning around 2004, was responsible for CRT sales for Company B.

During the period covered by this Indictment, Company B was a company incorporated in the Netherlands, headquartered in Hong Kong, and engaged in the business of producing and selling, among other things, CDTs to customers in the United States and elsewhere.

- June 2003 and continuing until at least March 2006, JAE-SIK KIM was employed by Company D and, beginning around 2002, was CRT Sales Division Head for Company D. During the period covered by this Indictment, Company D was a Korean company engaged in the business of producing and selling, among other things, CDTs to customers in the United States and elsewhere.
- 11. Various corporations and individuals not made defendants in this Indictment participated as coconspirators in the offense charged in this Indictment and performed acts and made statements in furtherance of it.
- 12. Whenever in this Indictment reference is made to any act, deed, or transaction of any corporation, the allegation means that the corporation engaged in the act, deed, or transaction by or through its officers, directors, employees, agents, or other representatives while they were actively engaged in the management, direction, control, or transaction of its business or affairs.

IV.

TRADE AND COMMERCE

- 13. CDTs are a type of cathode ray tube. Cathode ray tubes consist of evacuated glass envelopes that contain an electron gun and a phosphorescent screen. When electrons strike the screen, light is emitted, creating an image on the screen. CDTs are the specialized cathode ray tubes manufactured for use in computer monitors and other products with similar technological requirements.
- 14. During the period covered by this Indictment, Company B, Company D, and coconspirators sold and distributed substantial quantities of CDTs in a continuous and uninterrupted flow of interstate and foreign trade and commerce to customers located in states or countries other than the states or countries in which Company B, Company D, and coconspirators produced CDTs. In addition, payments for CDTs traveled in interstate and foreign trade and INDICTMENT PAGE 4

1 commerce. 2 15. During the period covered by this Indictment, the business activities of the 3 defendants and coconspirators related to the sale and distribution of CDTs that are the subject of this Indictment were within the flow of, and substantially affected, interstate and foreign trade and 4 5 commerce. V. 6 7 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 16. 8 The combination and conspiracy charged in this Indictment was carried out, in 9 part, in the Northern District of California, within the five years preceding the filing of this 10 Indictment. ALL IN VIOLATION OF TITLE 15, UNITED STATES CODE, SECTION 1. 11 12 DATE A TRUE BILL 13 14 15 Assistant Attorney General 16 17 Scott D. Hammond Phillip No Warren Deputy Assistant Attorney General Chief, San Francisco Office 18 19 United States Department of Justice Antitrust Division Lidia Maher 20 May Lee Heye Tai S. Milder 21 Attorneys U.S. Dept. of Justice, Antitrust Division 22 450 Golden Gate Avenue United States Attorney Box 36046, Room 10-0101 23 Northern District of California San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 436-6660 24 25 26 27 28 INDICTMENT – PAGE 5